

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,323	09/30/2003	Ulf Bodin	NET-6239	3892
25962 SLATER & M.	7590 05/28/201 ATSIL, L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000			IBRAHIM, MOHAMED	
DALLAS, TX 75252-5793			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/673,323	BODIN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MOHAMED IBRAHIM	2444	

C	Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	1. \(\bigcirc \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods:	is filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this mendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final i	rejection
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MOI Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK	(2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In NTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petit have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the counder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened station set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month may reduce any earned patent therm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	presponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 C filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (31 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time pe AMENDMENTS	7 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the c (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/ (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for application appeal; and/or	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding n NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached	d Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if subminon-allowable claim(s).	tted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be eithow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or a The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.5-17 and 31-40</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of App entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejeshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not expected.	ctions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the claims after entry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT plated Applicant's representative argues that the combined prior art of record described to the combined prior art of record described by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of records are considered by the combined prior art of the combin	
	In response to Applicant's argument, it seems, as evident from the remarks and the applied art of record discloses setting a threshold (see fig. 5). As the	for the measurement level, firstly, the claims state that
	the measurement level is based on the threshold and secondly, the Day two variables, threshold and current bandwidth usage measurement. Day	
	percentage (see Davies, col. 11 lines 4-58). Therefore, since no specific combined references, in particular, Davies makes the use of threshold a required scope of the claimed limitations as currently presented.	definitions if given for applicant's variables, the
	It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has not yet submitted claims drawn the Applicant's disclosed invention in manner, which distinguishes over the expedite the prosecution of the application in response to this action, Apmore narrow detail the true distinguishing features of Applicant's claim in	prior art. Thus, it is advised that, in order to further plicant should amend the base claims to describe in
	12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pa	
	13. ☐ Other:	рег но(ъ)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

//William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100524